

To,
The Chairman
Thesis Defense Committee
Department of Law
Stamford University, Bangladesh.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that, Rabeya Bari Reemee of the Siddeswari campus bearing I. D. – LL.B. 02705395 has completed her thesis. And she is ready to face the viva.

As such, a date for her viva be kindly fixed by your honour.

Sincerely

Yours,

Khundker Emdadul Hassan

DECLARATION

I, hereby solemnly declare that, the work presented in this thesis paper has been carried out by me and has not been previously submitted to any other institution. The work I have presented does not breach any copy right. I further undertake to indemnify the University against any loss or damage and arising from breach of the foregoing obligation.

Rabeya Bari Reemee
ID- LL.B. 02705395
Batch – 27th E
Stamford University, Bangladesh.

DEDICATION

This thesis is hereby dedicated to my beloved father and mother.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

At the very set I would like to thank Almighty Allah for his kindness on me in accomplishing the thesis. I would like to express my deep gratitude to the distinguish supervisor Khudker Emdadul Hassan Asst. professor Stamford University Bangladesh for his individual suggestions and offering valuable time, important information and guidance during the study period that has greatly inspired me in preparing this report successfully .

It could have not been possible to prepare the thesis without the help I met. Of course there are some very special names that can not be forgotten. I am also grateful to the Department of Law Stamford University Bangladesh for providing me with the opportunity of preparing the thesis. I want to express my heartfelt gratitude to my family members and all well wishers whose enormous help assisted me to complete this thesis.

Rabeya Bari Reemee

ABBREVIATIONS

A. D. : Appellate Division.

Art. : Article.

B. L. C. : Bangladesh Law Chronicales.

C. P. C. : Code of Civil Procedure.

D. L .R. : Dhaka Law Reports.

Ed. : Edition.

H. C. D. : High Court Division.

O. : Order.

P. O. : Presidential Ordinance.

p. : Page.

TABLE OF CASES

1. <i>Akbar Hossain v. Agrani Bank</i> , 54 (2003) DLR, (AD), 26.	14
2. <i>BSRS v. Hoque Brothers</i> , 46 (1995) DLR, (AD), 39.	15
3. <i>Ekushey Television Ltd. v. Chowdhury Mahmood Hasan</i> , 55 (2004) DLR, (AD), 26.	9
4. <i>Halima Jaman v. Banladesh</i> , 50 (1999) DLR, 352.	13
5. <i>Md.Siddique v. Samsul Haque</i> , 8BLC, 688.	14
6. <i>Wasiq Khan v. Sabiq khan</i> , 31 (1980) DLR, (AD), 51.	17

FOREWORD

Miss Rabeya Bari Reemee has worked with the thesis under my supervision for more than 4 months. She has submitted the paper after due works done with care and diligence. After going through the thesis paper I hopefully can say that she could express her ideas properly under my guidance, consulting the books mentioned in the book reference. I hope that the thesis paper will duly be accepted.

Khundker Emdadul Hassan

Asst. Professor

Department of Law

Stamford University, Bangladesh.

CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	x
Chapter 1	
PREFACE	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 What is review	1-2
Chapter 2	
HOW REVIEW IS FILED	
2.1 Who may file a review	3-4
2.2 When review petition is filed	4-5
2.3 Notice to the opposite party	5
Chapter 3	
ENTERTAINING REVIEW PETITION	
3.1 Power of Court to take review	6-7
3.2 Power of Court to hear review	7-8
Chapter 4	
NECESSITY OF REVIEW	
4.1 Why review is prayed for	9-10
4.2 How review is prepared	10-11

Chapter 5

GROUNDS OF REVIEW

5.1 Discovery of new and important matter of evidence	12-13
5.2 Error apparent on the face of the record	13-15
5.3 Other sufficient ground	16
5.3.1 Compromised decree	16-17
5.3.2 Ex parte decree	17
5.3.3 Review before judgment is written	17
5.3.4 Mistake of Court	18
5.3.5 Review petition by a minor	18
5.3.6 Review before signing of a decree	19

Chapter 6

REJECTION OF REVIEW

6.1 Application for review where rejected	20-21
6.2 Order of rejection not appealable	21-22

Chapter 7

LIMITATION IN FILING REVIEW

7.1 Time limit in filing review	23
7.1.1 Expiry of period of limitation	24
7.1.2 Delay in filing review	24
7.1.3 Delay in filing revision	25
7.1.4 Time barred decree	25
7.1.5 Limitation in writ petition	26

Chapter 8

CONCLUSION

Reference

ABSTRACT

Review is the jurisdiction of the court which though functus officio has disposed the suit earlier. The judgment of a court when cannot satisfy a party and when appeal is not preferred the party prefers a review with the self-same court. Review can be preferred only in cases of aggrieved party who suffers from dissatisfaction from the result or judgment. The effect of review though always does not change the judgment, the satisfaction of the party that the party claimed its desire to the same court which finally pronounced the judgment. All review does not call for alteration of judgment on the amendment of the judgment. Only the satisfaction of the court can call for an alteration of the judgment. Parties should always think to prefer an appeal and as a result failure in the review should never cause any pain. Parties should remember that review is not a right but seeking a mercy before the court. Hence the effect of failure in review should not cause the feeling or invite the feeling of failure in justice. Effect of review means the mercy of a court, whether positive or negative. So parties must be alert and courageous to receive the effect of the review.

Reference

Books

1. C. K. Takwani, *Civil Procedure*, 5th ed. (Lucknow: Eastern Book Company, 2003).
2. Mahmadul Islam, *The Law of Civil Procedure*, 2nd ed. (Dhaka: Mullick Brothers, 2009).
3. S. C. Sarker, *The Law of Civil Procedure*, 5th ed. (Calcutta: S.C. Sarker and Sons Private Ltd 1980).
4. Shaukat Mahmood, *The Law of Civil Procedure*, 7th ed. (Lahore: Legal Research Centre, 2002).

Statutes

1. The Constitution of People's Republic of Bangladesh, 1972. (As modified up to 31st May, 2000).
2. The Code of Civil Procedure 1908.

Table of cases

1. <i>Akbar Hossain v. Agrani Bank</i> , 54 (2003) DLR (AD), 26.	14
2. <i>BSRS v. Hoque Brothers</i> , 46 (1995) DLR (AD), 39.	15
3. <i>Ekushey Television Ltd. v. Chowdhury Mahmood Hasan</i> , 55 (2004) DLR (AD), 26.	9
4. <i>Halima Jaman v. Bangladesh</i> , 50 (1999) DLR (AD), 352.	13
5. <i>Md. Siddique v. Samsul Haque</i> , 8BLC, 688.	14
6. <i>Wasiq Khan v. Sabiq Khan</i> , 31 (1980) DLR (AD), 51.	17

Website

1. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/effectofreviewinacivilsuit> (24th February 2010).